

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

Mert Duymayan,

Plaintiff,

v.

Elite Medical Center, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00223-CDS-DJA

**Order**

After the Court denied pro se Plaintiff Mert Duymayan's incomplete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (meaning, without paying the filing fee), Plaintiff filed a renewed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 6). However, Plaintiff's application has the same problems as his prior application and Plaintiff did not fix the issues the Court pointed out. So, Court denies Plaintiff's application and will give him one final chance to file a corrected one.

**I. Discussion.**

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), a plaintiff may bring a civil action "without prepayment of fees or security therefor" if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff "is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." The Ninth Circuit has recognized that "there is no formula set forth by statute, regulation, or case law to determine when someone is poor enough to earn [*in forma pauperis*] status." *Escobedo v. Applebees*, 787 F.3d 1226, 1235 (9th Cir. 2015). An applicant need not be destitute to qualify for a waiver of costs and fees, but he must demonstrate that because of his poverty he cannot pay those costs and still provide himself with the necessities of life. *Adkins v. E.I DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

The applicant's affidavit must state the facts regarding the individual's poverty "with some particularity, definiteness and certainty." *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (citation omitted). If an individual is unable or unwilling to verify his or her

1 poverty, district courts have the discretion to make a factual inquiry into a plaintiff's financial  
2 status and to deny a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. See, e.g., *Marin v. Hahn*, 271  
3 Fed.Appx. 578 (9th Cir. 2008) (finding that the district court did not abuse its discretion by  
4 denying the plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* because he "failed to verify his  
5 poverty adequately"). "Such affidavit must include a complete statement of the plaintiff's  
6 personal assets." *Harper v. San Diego City Admin. Bldg.*, No. 16-cv-00768 AJB (BLM), 2016  
7 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192145, at \*1 (S.D. Cal. June 9, 2016). Misrepresentation of assets is sufficient  
8 grounds for denying an *in forma pauperis* application. Cf. *Kennedy v. Huibregtse*, 831 F.3d 441,  
9 443-44 (7th Cir. 2016) (affirming dismissal with prejudice after litigant misrepresented assets on  
10 *in forma pauperis* application).

11 Plaintiff's application contains contradictory information because he claims to make no  
12 money from employment or any other source, but asserts that he pays \$1,450.00 total in monthly  
13 expenses. While Plaintiff claims to have a car worth \$5,000.00, stocks worth \$1,200.00, and  
14 \$350.00 in a bank account, he does not claim to have sold his car or stocks or to have drained his  
15 account to pay his bills. So, it is still not clear how Plaintiff pays his bills given his assertion to  
16 make no money from any source. Given these contradictions, the Court cannot determine  
17 whether Plaintiff qualifies for *in forma pauperis* status. The Court will give Plaintiff one last  
18 opportunity to file a complete *in forma pauperis* application. The Court further orders that  
19 Plaintiff may not respond with a zero or "not applicable" in response to any question without  
20 providing an explanation for each of the questions. Plaintiff also may not leave any questions  
21 blank. Plaintiff must describe each source of money that he receives, state the amount he  
22 received, and what he expects to receive in the future.

23 The Court denies Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* application without prejudice. The Court  
24 gives Plaintiff 30 days to file an updated application. Plaintiff must fully answer all applicable  
25 questions and check all applicable boxes. Plaintiff may alternatively pay the filing fee in full.  
26 Since the Court denies Plaintiff's application, it does not screen the complaint at this time.

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*  
2 *pauperis* (ECF No. 6) is **denied without prejudice**.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff has until **July 30, 2025**, to file an updated  
4 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* as specified in this order or pay the filing fee. Failure to  
5 timely comply with this order may result in a recommendation to the district judge that this case  
6 be dismissed.

7           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is kindly directed to send Plaintiff  
8 a copy of this order and of the short form application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and its  
9 instructions.<sup>1</sup>

10  
11           DATED: June 30, 2025



---

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27           

---

28           <sup>1</sup> This form and its instructions can also be found at <https://www.nvd.uscourts.gov/court-information/forms/> under Code AO 240.